Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

#### Causality: Explanation versus Prediction

Department of Government London School of Economics and Political Science Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

#### 1 Brief Review of MT Material

- 2 Causality
- 3 Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference
- 4 Randomized Experiments

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

#### 1 Brief Review of MT Material

#### 2 Causality

#### 3 Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference

#### 4 Randomized Experiments

ΜT

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

# What did we learn about during MT?

# New territory...

By the end of today you should be able to:

- Identify what makes for a causal relationship
- Distinguish causation from correlation/association
- Begin to analyse research problems using counterfactual thinking

# The broad story arc for LT

- Causal inference!
  - Generating causal theories and expectations
  - Making comparisons
  - Statistical methods useful for causal inference
  - (Quasi-)Experimentation

# The broad story arc for LT

- Causal inference!
  - Generating causal theories and expectations
  - Making comparisons
  - Statistical methods useful for causal inference
  - (Quasi-)Experimentation
- Developing your research proposals
  One-on-ones w/ Thomas
  Literature review (Reading Week)

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

#### 1 Brief Review of MT Material

#### 2 Causality

#### 3 Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference

#### 4 Randomized Experiments

# **Pre-Post Change Heuristic**

- Our intuition about causation relies too heavily on simple comparisons of pre-post change in outcomes before and after something happens
  - No change: no causation
  - Increase in outcome: positive effect
  - Decrease in outcome: negative effect

Several reasons why this is inadequate!

# Flaws in causal inference from pre-post comparisons

- 1 Maturation or trends
- 2 Regression to the mean
- <sup>3</sup> Selection
- <sup>4</sup> Simultaneous historical changes
- Instrumentation changes
- Monitoring changes behaviour

# Directed Acyclic Graphs

 Causal graphs (DAGs) provide a visual representation of (possible) causal relationships

# Directed Acyclic Graphs

- Causal graphs (DAGs) provide a visual representation of (possible) causal relationships
- Causality flows between variables, which are represented as "nodes"
  - Variables are causally linked by arrows
  - Causality only flows *forward* in time

# Directed Acyclic Graphs

- Causal graphs (DAGs) provide a visual representation of (possible) causal relationships
- Causality flows between variables, which are represented as "nodes"

Variables are causally linked by arrowsCausality only flows *forward* in time

- Nodes opening a "backdoor path" from X → Y are confounds
  - "Selection bias" or "Confounding"

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments













1 Correlation





1 Correlation

- 1 Correlation
- 2 Nonconfounding









- 1 Correlation
- 2 Nonconfounding

- 1 Correlation
- 2 Nonconfounding
- B Direction ("temporal precedence")



- 1 Correlation
- 2 Nonconfounding
- B Direction ("temporal precedence")

- 1 Correlation
- 2 Nonconfounding
- B Direction ("temporal precedence")
- 4 Mechanism

- 1 Correlation
- 2 Nonconfounding
- B Direction ("temporal precedence")
- 4 Mechanism
- 5 (Appropriate level of analysis)



Source: The Telegraph. 27 June 2016. http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/06/24/eu-referendum-how-the-results-compare-to-the-uks-educated-old-an/

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

# **Questions?**

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

#### 1 Brief Review of MT Material

2 Causality

#### 3 Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference

#### 4 Randomized Experiments

# **Causal Inference**

Causal inference (typically) involves gathering data in a systematic fashion in order to assess the size and form of correlation between nodes X and Y in such a way that there are no backdoor paths between X and Y by controlling for (i.e., conditioning on, holding constant) any confounding variables, **Z**.
MT

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

# In essence, this means finding or creating *counterfactuals*.

## **Counterfactual Thinking**

Causal inference involves inferring what would have happened in a counterfactual reality where the potential cause took on a different value

 Counterfactual: relating to what has not happened or is not the case

# "A Christmas Carol"

- 1843 novel by Charles Dickens
- Ebenezer Scrooge is shown his own future by the "Ghost of Christmas Yet to Come"
  - Has the choice to either:
    - 1 stay on current path (one counterfactual), or
    - 2 change his ways (take a different counterfactual)

## **Dickensian Causal Inference**

- Causal effect: The difference between two "potential outcomes"
   The outcomes that ecours if X
  - The outcome that occurs if X = x<sub>1</sub>
    The outcome that occurs if X = x<sub>2</sub>

 The causal effect of Scrooge's lifestyle is seen in the *difference(s)* between two potential futures

## Other Counterfactuals in TV & Film

- Groundhog Day
- Run Lola Run
- Minority Report
- Source Code
- X-Men: Days of Future Past

# Fundamental problem of causal inference!

We can only observe any given unit in one reality! So any counterfactual for a given unit is unobservable!!!

# Fundamental problem of causal inference!

We can only observe any given unit in one reality! So any counterfactual for a given unit is unobservable!!!

### OH NO!

## Two solutions!

- <sup>1</sup> "Scientific" Solution<sup>1</sup>
  - (Assume) units are all identical
  - Each can provide a perfect counterfactual
  - Common in, e.g., agriculture, biology

# Two solutions!

- Scientific Solution<sup>1</sup>
  - (Assume) units are all identical
  - Each can provide a perfect counterfactual
  - Common in, e.g., agriculture, biology
- 2 "Statistical" Solution
  - Units are not identical
  - Random exposure to a potential cause
  - Effects measured on average across units
  - Known as the "Experimental ideal"

# Mill's methods<sup>2</sup>

- Agreement
- Difference
- Agreement and Difference
- Residue
- Concomitant variations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Discussed in Holland

#### Mill's Method of Difference

"If an instance in which the phenomenon under investigation occurs, and an instance in which it does not occur, have every circumstance save one in common, that one occurring only in the former; the circumstance in which alone the two instances differ, is the effect, or cause, or an necessary part of the cause, of the phenomenon."

MT

## "Rerum cognoscere causas"

 Causal inference is meant to help "explain" the social world

- Causal inference is meant to help "explain" the social world
   Other notions of *explain*
  - Concept generation and labelling
  - Descriptive typologies

- Causal inference is meant to help "explain" the social world
   Other notions of *explain* Concept generation and labelling
  - Descriptive typologies
  - Explanation may or may not involve mechanistic claims (see LT Week 5)

- Causal inference is meant to help "explain" the social world
   Other nations of avalain
  - Other notions of *explain* 
    - Concept generation and labelling
    - Descriptive typologies
  - Explanation may or may not involve mechanistic claims (see LT Week 5)
- Causation is deterministic at the unit level!

- Causal inference is meant to help "explain" the social world
  - Other notions of *explain* 
    - Concept generation and labelling
    - Descriptive typologies
  - Explanation may or may not involve mechanistic claims (see LT Week 5)
- Causation is deterministic at the unit level!
- Counterfactual approaches to causal inference are "forward" in nature

MT

#### Prediction is not causation. Causation is not prediction.

#### Prediction is not causation. Causation is not prediction.

#### Why are these distinct?

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

#### 1 Brief Review of MT Material

2 Causality

#### 3 Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference

#### 4 Randomized Experiments

## The Experimental Ideal

A randomized experiment, or randomized control trial is:

The observation of units after, and possibly before, a randomly assigned intervention in a controlled setting, which tests one or more precise causal expectations

This is Holland's "statistical solution" to the fundamental problem of causal inference

# **Random Assignment**

- A physical process of randomization
  - Breaks the "selection process"
  - Units only take value of X = x because of assignment

# Random Assignment

- A physical process of randomization
  - Breaks the "selection process"
    Units only take value of X = x because of assignment
- This means:
  - Treatment groups, on average, provide in sight into counterfactual "potential" outcomes
  - Randomization means potential outcomes are balanced between groups, so no confounding



 Causal inference is a comparison of two potential outcomes

- Causal inference is a comparison of two potential outcomes
- A potential outcome is the value of the outcome (Y) for a given unit (i) after receiving a particular version of the treatment (X)

- Causal inference is a comparison of two potential outcomes
- A potential outcome is the value of the outcome (Y) for a given unit (i) after receiving a particular version of the treatment (X)
- Each unit has multiple *potential* outcomes (y<sub>0i</sub>, y<sub>1i</sub>), but we only observe one of them

- Causal inference is a comparison of two potential outcomes
- A potential outcome is the value of the outcome (Y) for a given unit (i) after receiving a particular version of the treatment (X)
- Each unit has multiple *potential* outcomes (y<sub>0i</sub>, y<sub>1i</sub>), but we only observe one of them
- A causal effect is the difference between these (e.g.,  $y_{x=1} y_{x=0}$ ), all else constant

We cannot see individual-level causal effects
 We want to know: TE<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>1i</sub> - y<sub>0i</sub>

- We cannot see individual-level causal effects
   We want to know: TE<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>1i</sub> y<sub>0i</sub>
- We can see average causal effects
  - Ex.: Average difference in cancer between those who do and do not smoke
     ATE<sub>naive</sub> = E[y<sub>1i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 1] E[y<sub>0i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 0]

- We cannot see individual-level causal effects
   We want to know: TE<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>1i</sub> y<sub>0i</sub>
- We can see average causal effects
  - Ex.: Average difference in cancer between those who do and do not smoke
     ATE<sub>naive</sub> = E[y<sub>1i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 1] E[y<sub>0i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 0]
- Is this what we want to know?

- We cannot see individual-level causal effects
   We want to know: TE<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>1i</sub> y<sub>0i</sub>
- We can see average causal effects
  - Ex.: Average difference in cancer between those who do and do not smoke
     ATE<sub>naive</sub> = E[y<sub>1i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 1] E[y<sub>0i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 0]
- Is this what we want to know?
  - Yes, if *X* randomized

- We cannot see individual-level causal effects
   We want to know: TE<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>1i</sub> y<sub>0i</sub>
- We can see average causal effects
  - Ex.: Average difference in cancer between those who do and do not smoke
     ATE<sub>naive</sub> = E[y<sub>1i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 1] E[y<sub>0i</sub>|x<sub>i</sub> = 0]
- Is this what we want to know?
  - Yes, if *X* randomized
  - Yes, if all confounds controlled

MT

Causality

Counterfactuals

Randomized Experiments

## Preview of next week

- What is a "scientific literature"?
- How do we accumulate scientific evidence?

## Mill's Methods
## Agreement

If two or more instances of the phenomenon under investigation have only one circumstance in common, the circumstance in which alone all the instances agree, is the cause (or effect) of the given phenomenon.

### Difference

If an instance in which the phenomenon under investigation occurs, and an instance in which it does not occur, have every circumstance save one in common, that one occurring only in the former; the circumstance in which alone the two instances differ, is the effect, or cause, or an necessary part of the cause, of the phenomenon.

# **Agreement and Difference**

If two or more instances in which the phenomenon occurs have only one circumstance in common, while two or more instances in which it does not occur have nothing in common save the absence of that circumstance; the circumstance in which alone the two sets of instances differ, is the effect, or cause, or a necessary part of the cause, of the phenomenon.

### Residue

Subduct from any phenomenon such part as is known by previous inductions to be the effect of certain antecedents, and the residue of the phenomenon is the effect of the remaining antecedents.

### **Concomitant variations**

Whatever phenomenon varies in any manner whenever another phenomenon varies in some particular manner, is either a cause or an effect of that phenomenon, or is connected with it through some fact of causation.